

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/664,302	09/16/2003	Richard Farbaniec	O-3795/1086.015C	O-3795/1086.015C 4338		
7590 04/21/2005			EXAM	INER		
Sampson & Associates, P.C.			FOOTLAND	FOOTLAND, LENARD A		

Sampson & Associates, P.C. 50 Congress Street Boston, MA 02109

3682 DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		10/664,302	10/664,302 FARBANIEC ET AL.		AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Lenard A.		3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the d	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventation. 1ys, a reply within the statute ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status					÷			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>28 D</u> ece <u>m</u> ber 200	04.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4 \\⊠	. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-14,16-21,23,24,26 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · —	_							
7)🖂								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election red	quirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
, /—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,						
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority documer	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen				(070 (40)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(P10-413) ate				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08)		atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,302

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without traverse of invention III and the species of Fig('s). remains. Claim(s) 21, 24 remain withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species. Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim(s) 4-5, 7-10, 16, 19-20, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by Burk. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 7.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have

Application/Control Number: 10/664,302

Art Unit: 3682

been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. as set forth in the rejection of claim(s) 4-5, 7-10, 16, 19-20, 26 above, and further in view of engineering design choice.

The selection of a known lubricious material based on its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill in the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 199, 125 USPQ 416, 418 (CCPA 1960).

Claims 6, 11-14, 17-18 are objected to for depending on rejected claims.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf